E PERMONA

MEMPIASIAM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

**TIME** 

: Perview of Cocos of Daployees Returned from Oversees

Short of Completion of Tour

THE PERSON ASS

25X1

: (m) Masso fr INCI to DD/S and BD/P atd 8 Jul 64; subj: Inspector General's Survey of Office of Personnel

(b) Oversens Candidate Review Panel

- 1. This measurement contains a suspery of artica taken is response to your assermental of 8 July 1908 (Reference e) and is for your information.
- In your accommodes of 3 July you referred to Recommendations 6 and 13 of the Inspector General's Survey Report of the Office of Personnel. I was in general excessor with these recommendations and I have taken the following action for their implementation.
- 3. In the case of staff employees and staff agents involving noncompletion of oversees tours due to resease of misconduct and other adverse Thetare, the Oversess Candidate Review Partel, authorized by for the past several years been conducting after-the-fact review in sulected cases. Alaytim of the Survey Report recommendations reforms to in your assertantum calls for an intensification and further formalization of these activities, plus as extension of those procedures to non-staff personnel cotegories through the instrumentality of the Agent Panel of the Classestine Services Career Service Board. While the latter tarcives special conciderstions and procedures which are currently being developed, I have directed the Director of Personnel and the heads of the other support offices perticipating in the Oversess Conditate Review Papel to essure that the Papel reviews all causes of staff employees and staff agents who fail to complete their town due to adverse factors such as atsecudant, inshility to edjust, etc., with a view to escentaining whather there were charteonings in Agoncy procedures or deficiencies in the selection of the individuals for oversess assignment, and what corrective cotion, if eny, might be taken to avoid minilar cases in the future. (Attachment 1) I have also requested that the other Deputies cooperate in this matter by assuring that all relevant onces are reported to the Office of Personnel in order that the Penel will be in a position to make the accessory reviews (Attachment 2).

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Review of Cases of Employees Between from Oversons Short of Completion of Tour

- 4. After evaluating a case of the type referred to, the Overseas Candidate Series Panel will provide a papart thereon to the Director of Personnel. The Director at Personnel vill review the findings of the Panel, assuring that the particular case has been or is being appropristely resolved and that, in warranted, the Panel's findings are brought to the attention of the operating official, head of career service, or Deputy Director conserned.
- ). I trust that the actions we are taking will serve to implement the recommodistions of the Inspector General in this particular regard, and an hope at that the incidence of early returned cases will thereby be received in the reture.

R. L. Dichestons Acting Deputy Director for Support

Attachmente (2)

Distribution:

O & 1 - Addressee

2 - DD/S

1 - Ex. Dir.

X - IG

1 - ER

1 - D/Pers

1 - OP/SAS

Originator: . Director of Pers

25X1

25X1

OP/SAS/ sac (8 September 1964)

	rease	2024/28/35Lipia	PRDP67 134R00	0010008
1	. =====================================	IG		
	7D-49	BUILDING HQ		
	REMARKS:	l ma	<del></del>	
				1
	FROM:			25/4
		DD/S		25X1

 Approved F	For Rei  2004/08/25	: CIA-RDP67-00134R00 0080019-1
	•	9 September 1964
		Package from Acting DDS on Rec. 68 of Personnel Survey, FYI.
	- Jack	O/ExDir is forwarding to us this pack plus EEXX DD/P comments on these recommendations. We should receiv today or tomorrow for IG comments.
	Jog Se	DD/S comments on remaining recommendations are deax expected shortly,

ER 64-6186

DOS 40-5000 I

of 8 Sep 64.

(DDS 64-4795)

DOS comments

re # 6+13.

3 to 5: We have reviewed the

DDS memorandum of 8 September and we believe that the action he ha has taken is consistent with the original recommendations of the IG Survey of the Office of Personnel

We note, however, that the DDS proposals of 8 September are not altogether consistent with the DDP proposals of 4 September and we suggest that the two offices be asked to resolve the minor contradictions to provide for the modifications outlined in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the DDP 4 September memorandum.

JSE 18 Sep 64